University of Cape Town - Department of Physics Honours Computational Physics

TOPIC 2 - ROOT-FINDING, INTERPOLATION & EXTRAPOLATION : SMOOTH PARTICLE INTERPOLATION

This worksheet accompanies the EJS simulation Interpolation_No1_SPI.jar

Smooth Particle Interpolation (SPI) is an interpolation scheme based on the Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) technique of solving PDE's.

Consider a function f(x). From the definition of the δ -function,

$$f(\bar{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') \,\delta(\bar{x} - x') \,dx'.$$

The smoothed approximation of SPH involves replacing the δ -function by a kernel function $W(\bar{x} - x'; h)$, with h a parameter known as the smoothing length. The kernel function satisfies the following criteria:

- $W(\bar{x} x'; h) \ge 0;$
- $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W(\bar{x} x'; h) dx' = 1$ (normalisation);
- $W(\bar{x} x'; h)$ has compact support (i.e. $\exists a \ \delta$ such that $W(\bar{x} x'; h) = 0$ when $|\bar{x} x'| > \delta$);
- $W(\bar{x} x'; h)$ approaches $\delta(\bar{x} x')$ as $h \to 0$;
- $W(\bar{x} x'; h)$ should be an even function of $\bar{x} x'$ to ensure reasonable accuracy.

This results in:

$$f(\bar{x}) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') W(\bar{x} - x'; h) \, dx' \equiv \langle f(\bar{x}) \rangle.$$

The second approximation involves evaluating the integral in the smoothed approximation $\langle f(\bar{x}) \rangle$ using only the function values at the N points $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N$:

$$f(\bar{x}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta x_i f(x_i) W(\bar{x} - x_i; h) \equiv [f(\bar{x})].$$

In SPI terminology, particles are said to be positioned at $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N$ and the Δx_i are then particle spacings. Given f(x) evaluated at the N points $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N$, the particle approximation allows the estimation of the function at some point \bar{x} (i.e. interpolation).

A number of SPI kernel functions exist, although the most common is the Gaussian kernel:

$$W(\bar{x} - x'; h) = \frac{1}{h\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\bar{x} - x'}{h}\right)^2\right).$$

Strictly speaking, the Gaussian kernel does not have compact support. However, it does tend to zero quickly enough for most applications.

A very useful feature of SPI is that it can be used to approximate derivatives as well as functions. Consider the smoothed approximation of the first derivative f'(x):

$$\langle f'(\bar{x})\rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f'(x') W(\bar{x} - x'; h) \, dx'.$$

We can use integration by parts to shift the derivative to the kernel function:

$$\langle f'(\bar{x})\rangle = \underbrace{f(x')W(\bar{x}-x';h)}_{-\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') \frac{dW(\bar{x}-x';h)}{dx'} dx',$$

where the compact support of the kernel function has been used to cancel the boundary term. Finally, using the particle approximation, we obtain an estimate for the first derivative:

$$f'(\bar{x}) \approx -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta x_i f(x_i) W'(\bar{x} - x_i; h) \equiv [f'(\bar{x})].$$

A similar procedure can be applied to higher derivatives.

Questions:

- 1. Show that SPI is not strictly speaking an interpolation scheme by considering the particle approximation $[f(x_i)]$.
- 2. Show that normalisation of the kernel function is required in order to ensure agreement between the smoothed approximation $\langle f(\bar{x}) \rangle$ and $f(\bar{x})$ for a constant function f(x) = C.
- 3. Show that $\langle f(\bar{x}) \rangle = f(\bar{x}) + \frac{h^2}{4} f''(\bar{x}) + O(h^4)$, for the Gaussian kernel. (Hint: Taylor expand f(x') about \bar{x} , multiply by $W(\bar{x} x'; h)$ and integrate.)
- 4. Derive the particle approximation to the second derivative $[f''(\bar{x})]$.
- 5. Use the associated EJS simulation to investigate the use of SPI in approximating the function

$$f(x) = 3x^4 - x^3 + 2x + 3,$$

defined over the interval [-10, +10].

- (a) Confirm that SPI is not truly an interpolation scheme.
- (b) Account for the behaviour of the SPI approximation to f(x) with a parameter choice h = 0.1and N = 10.
- (c) Focus on the central region $x \in [-2, 2]$. Investigate the effect that variation of h and N has on the SPI approximation to f(x) over this interval. Obviously there is considerable interplay between the parameters h and N. Can you suggest a combination of the two that serves as a more sensible single parameter to keep track of?
- (d) Consider the entire interval [-10, 10]. What do you notice near the interval boundaries? Does adjusting the number of SPI particles and/or the smoothing length h remedy the situation?

- i. Can you suggest a reason for this failure? (Hint: consider the criteria that the kernel function is assumed to meet.)
- ii. Propose a correction to alleviate the problem near the boundaries.
- (e) Consider the SPI approximations to the first and second derivative of f(x).
 - i. Do the derivative approximations suffer from similar weaknesses at the boundaries?
 - ii. Investigate the effect that variation of h and N has on the SPI approximations to the derivatives.
- (f) Is the h-dependence of the error derived in Question 3 confirmed by the simulation? Discuss.