The flow structure in the classical symbiotic system Z And during its active phase

> Dmitry Bisikalo<sup>1</sup>, Nikolai Tomov<sup>2</sup>, Mima Tomova<sup>2</sup>, Alexey Sytov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Astronomy RAS, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Astronomy BAS



A typical symbiotic star is a detached system with mass exchange driven by stellar wind.

### **OUTBURSTS IN SYMBIOTIC STARS**

Following the characteristics of their outbursts, symbiotic stars can be divided in two types:

1. Symbiotic novae (e.g. V1016 Cyg, V1329 Cyg, RS Oph, HM Sge). These outbursts are longer and brighter. Their energetics can even exceed that of novae outbursts.

**2. Classical symbiotic stars** (e.g. Z And, AG Peg, AG Dra, CI Cyg, AX Per). Outbursts are typically last a few months and have amplitudes of 2-4<sup>m</sup>.

### LEAVING THE STEADY BURNING RANGE

**Case 1.** Accretion rate becomes lower than the steady burning range:



Corresponds to the observational manifestations of symbiotic novae

Case 2. Accretion rate exceeds the steady burning range

Accreted matter accumulates above the burning shell



Timescale and brightness changes correspond to classical symbiotic stars This work deals with classical symbiotic stars using as example one of the most well-studied representatives of this class - Z And.

## The structure of the gas flow in Z And in quiescence and during outburst

### The Symbiotic System Z And

#### **Components:**

- A normal M4.5 III giant
- A white dwarf with  $T_{eff} \ge 10^5 \text{ K}$
- A partly photoionized surrounding nebula
- Orbital period P = 758.8<sup>d</sup>

### Active phases of Z And:

### After 1915, 1939, 1960, 1984 and 2000.



**OUTBURST IN A CLASSICAL SYMBIOTIC SYSTEM** 

In quiescent state accretion rate is within the steady burning range

At some moment accretion rate increases and oversteps the limits of the steady burning range.

The time scale of the accretion rate increase should correspond to the characteristic time of outburst development.

What is the reason of the accretion rate growth?

### REASON OF THE ACCRETION RATE GROWTH

- In order to explain the accretion rate change several mechanisms were proposed:
- Significant change of the donor's mass loss (Nussbaumer&Vogel, 1987) – not confirmed by observations
- Accretion disk instabilities (Bath, 1977) no correspondence on scale and time
- Using the results of gasdynamic modeling we proposed the mechanism that can provide the accretion rate growth (Bisikalo et al., 2002)



Even minor variations in the donor's wind velocity is enough to lead to the accretion regime change - from disc accretion to wind accretion. During the transition period, namely during the disc destruction the accretion rate increases abruptly and exceeds the upper limit of the steady burning range.



# THE MODEL

## The flow was described by the system of Euler equations in corotating coordinate frame.

**EQUATIONS**  

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho w}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u^{2} + P)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho u v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho u w}{\partial z} = -\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + 2\Omega v \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho v^{2} + P)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho v w}{\partial z} = -\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} - 2\Omega u \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho w}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho v w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho w^{2} + P)}{\partial z} = -\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}$$
The Roe-Osher scheme has been used for 2D and SFS (simplified flux splitting) scheme for 3D.
  

$$\frac{\partial \rho E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho v h}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho w h}{\partial z} = -\rho u \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} - \rho v \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} - \rho w \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}$$

$$P = (\gamma - 1)\rho \varepsilon$$

### THE MODEL

The flow was described by the system of Euler equations in corotating coordinate frame.

Since the mechanism of gas acceleration is poorly known for cool giants, we mimic the radiation pressure by reducing the gravitational attraction force to the donor star by a factor 1-Γ.

#### MODELING OF A GIANT'S WIND

$$\mathbf{F} = \Gamma \frac{GM_1}{r^2} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}$$

 $M_1$  – mass of the donor, r – distance from the center of the donor,  $\Gamma$  – parameter.

#### The modified potential :



### THE MODEL

The flow was described by the system of Euler equations in corotating coordinate frame.

Since the mechanism of gas acceleration is poorly known for cool giants, we mimic the radiation pressure by reducing the gravitational attraction force to the donor star by a factor 1-Γ.

The value of adiabatic index has been accepted 1.01, that corresponds to the case close to the isothermal one.

### **PARAMETERS OF Z AND**

Mass of the donor M <sub>1</sub>	$2 M_{\odot}$
Radius of the donor R <sub>1</sub>	77 R $_{\odot}$
Mass of the accretor M <sub>2</sub>	0.6M <sub>☉</sub>
Radius of the accretor R <sub>2</sub>	0.07 R $_{\odot}$
Orbital period P <sub>orb</sub>	758.8 days
Separation A	482.53 $R_{\odot}$
Mass loss rate	$2 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
Donor's wind velocity	25 км/с

T.Fernandez-Castro, A.Cassatella, A.Gimenez et al. Astrophys. J., 324, 1016 (1988)

### CALCULATIONS PERFORMED

#### 1. v= 25 – 5 =20 km/s:

- To study the possibility of disc formation in the system
- To estimate the accretion rate value
- To check if the accretion rate is indeed within the limits of the steady burning range

#### 2. v= 25 + 5 = 30 km/s :

To obtain parameters of the flow structure in the system without disc

#### 3. v=20→30 km/s :

- To study the process of disc destruction
  - To estimate the accretion rate growth
  - To find out if the accretion rate growth is enough to leave the steady burning range?







For wind velocity v=20 km/s and values of the parameter F∈[0.85 -0.95] a steady accretion disc forms in the system.

Accretion efficiency in this case is

$$M_{accr}^{0} = 22.5 - 25\%$$

For Z And where the mass loss rate is estimated to be ~2×10<sup>-7</sup>M<sub>☉</sub>/ yr, and the accretion rate will be

$$\dot{M}_{accr} = 4.5 - 5 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} / 200$$

For Z And (M<sub>wD</sub>=0.6M<sub>o</sub>) steady burning is possible if

 $2.1 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} / 200 \le M_{accr} \le 4.7 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} / 200$ 

I.e. the accretion rate for the solution with the accretion disc corresponds to the steady burning range (near the upper limit).







If the wind velocity equals 30 km/s the cone shock forms.

> Accretion efficiency in this case is  $M_{accr} = 11 - 13\%$ 

The analysis of results presented above allows to conclude that even small change in the donor's wind velocity (within ±5 km/s around the observed value 25 km/s) leads to a flow structure and accretion regime changeover, namely, to the transition from a disc to a wind accretion flow.

#### WIND VELOCITY CHANGE 20→30 KM/S

It takes about 133<sup>d</sup> for the matter moving with increased velocity to reach the bow shock located in front of the accretor.

After that the wind moves further, crushes disk and makes the matter it contained to fall onto the surface of the accretor.

This results in the accretion rate jump.





#### Time scale:

For Z And the outburst development time is ~100<sup>d</sup>.

According to our results the full time of disc destruction is approximately ~180<sup>d</sup>.

If we assume that the system leaves the steady burning range after the accretion rate increases in 1.5 times, the corresponding time will be ~100 days, in good agreement with observations.



There are a lot of symbiotics where the wind from the hot component has been observed at active stages of the system (e.g. Z And). In such a case winds from both components collide and form the complex structure with shocks.



We took the stationary solution corresponding to the pre-outburst state and continued calculations with changed boundary conditions on the accretor's surface.



After 70<sup>d</sup> the waves reach their final position between the system's components and it takes 20-30 days for the formation of shocks structure to be completed.

### SHOCKS CONTRIBUTION TO THE BRIGHTNESS OF THE SYSTEM



Shocks formation can provide the brightness growth up to 1<sup>m</sup> so it should be seen on the lightcurve.



### SHOCK IONIZATION ESTIMATION



The ratio of the number of ionizing photons to recombinations

Date (state of the system)	μ
15.09.1999 (quiescence)	1.12
22.11.2000 (outburst, rise to the second maximum)	1.00
06.12.2000 (outburst, near maximum)	0.76

## At the maximum of the outburst 24% of the emission of nebulosity can be the result of the shocks formed.

### Conclusions

The analysis of results presented here allows us to draw the main conclusion that the transition from quiescent to active state in symbiotic stars can be concerned with the accretion regime change (transition from the disc accretion to wind accretion) as the result of insignificant variations of donor's wind velocity.

• Outburst development is well described in the framework of the colliding winds model.



